

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginsa 22313-1450 www.msplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/441,140	11/16/1999	BEKA SOLOMON	SOLOMONIREI	3910
1441 O500 06911/2010 BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. 624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			EXAMINER	
			BALLARD, KIMBERLY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
bm.cro	1,00 20001 2000		1649	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/11/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/441,140	SOLOMON, BEKA	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kimberly Ballard	1649	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE BEDLY FILED 40 May 2010 FALLS TO DEADE THIS ADDITION IN CONDITION FOR ALL OWANCE

ILLE	REPLY FILED TO MAY 2010 PAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. 🛛	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this
	application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the
	application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
	for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time

periods: The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706 07(f)

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 10 May 2010. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

- AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
 - (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 - (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
 - appeal; and/or
 - (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
- NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
- 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The 112/1st written description rejection for claims 219-228 has been overcome in view of Applicant's amendments to the claims.
- 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
- 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) will not be entered, or b) X will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed:

Claim(s) objected to:

Claim(s) rejected: 177 and 210-228.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1),
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

- 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
- Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. ☐ Other:

/Flizabeth C. Kemmerer/ Flizabeth C. Kemmerer, Ph.D. Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1646 Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The request for reconsideration has been fully considered. The after-final amendment has been entered in full. Applicant's amendments to the claims are sufficient to overcome the rejection under 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph (written description), in part. In particular, the portion of the rejection pertaining to antigen specificity and the particular immunogenic antigen used to produce the claimed antibody has been negated by the new amendments to the claims. Therefore, the written description rejection of claims 17 and 210-218 is maintained for reasons of record.

With respect to the rejection under 35 USC 103 (Walker in view of Becker), it is noted that both Walker and Becker suggest the generic use of anti-amyloid antibodies for therapy, such as to use such antibodies by themselves or to deliwer therapeuties and suggests to the brain. The ordinary skilled artisan at the time of filing would have recognized the 10DS antibody as useful for such purposes in view of its ability to bind brain anyiloid-beta with high affinity. Taken together, the teachings of the Walker and Becker references render obvious a genetically-modified 10DS antibody for therapeutic or diagnostic use, and therefore the rejection of claims 177, 210-213, 215-217, 219-223 and 225-227; smainisms.

Applicant's arguments pertaining to the other rejections of record are substantially the same as previously presented, and therefore the rejections are maintained for the same reasons of record,